Phase transitions in full counting statistics in time-periodic setups

$\mathsf{Dmitri}\ \mathsf{Ivanov}^1$ and $\mathsf{Alexander}\ \mathsf{Abanov}^2$

 1 EPFL 2 Stony Brook University

July 2011

EPL **92**, 37008 (2010) + in preparation

Outline

- 1. "Counting" phase transitions in classical and quantum systems
- 2. Example 1: (classical) "weather model"
- 3. Example 2: (quantum) adiabatic pump for non-interacting fermions
- 4. **Example 3:** (quantum) statistics of free fermions on a 1D line segment (and generalized Fisher–Hartwig conjecture)

Full counting statistics



Generating function:

$$\chi_t(\lambda) = \sum_n P_n e^{i\lambda n}$$

Here t - duration of measurement, P_n - probability of counting n events (transmitting charge n)

Properties of the generating function

- Periodicity: $\chi_t(\lambda) = \chi_t(\lambda + 2\pi)$ reflects charge quantization
- For any t, the number n is limited $\Rightarrow \chi_t(\lambda)$ is analytic on the circle $z = e^{i\lambda}$
- Multiplicativity for independent processes ("partition function"): $\chi_{A+B}(\lambda) = \chi_A(\lambda) \cdot \chi_B(\lambda)$
- In a time-periodic setup (with a period τ), define the extensive part of χ(λ):

$$\chi^{(0)}(\lambda) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \left[\chi_{N\tau}(\lambda) \right]^{1/N}$$

"Counting" phase transitions

 $\chi^{(0)}(\lambda)$ is periodic in λ (by construction), but:

1. The corresponding "quasiprobabilities"

$$\chi^{(0)}(\lambda) = \sum_{n} P_{n}^{(0)} e^{i\lambda n}$$

are not necessarily positive (nothing surprising)

and

2. It may develop a singularity

⇒ PHASE TRANSITION





Recursive relation for the generating function:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \chi_{N+1}^S \\ \chi_{N+1}^R \end{pmatrix} = M(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} \chi_N^S \\ \chi_N^R \end{pmatrix}$$

 2×2 transfer matrix:

$$M(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} q_s e^{i\lambda} & (1-q_r)e^{i\lambda} \\ 1-q_s & q_r \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} q_s & 1-q_r \\ 1-q_s & q_r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\lambda} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Weather model – continued

 $\chi^{(0)}(\lambda)$ is determined by the maximal (complex) eigenvalue of the transfer matrix $M(\lambda)$:





Phase diagram as a function of the parameters (q_r, q_s)

Example 2 (quantum): adiabatic pumping of noninteracting fermions



periodic in time scattering matrix

 \Rightarrow Factorization of FCS into single-particle processes [D.I. and A. Abanov '07 and '09]

$$\chi(\lambda) = \det\left(\left[1 + (e^{i\lambda} - 1)\tilde{X}\right]e^{-i\lambda Q}\right)$$

 ${\it Q}$ – projection onto one of the leads,

$$\tilde{X} = (1 - n_F)Q + n_F^{1/2}S^{\dagger}QSn_F^{1/2}$$

– a Hermitian operator with the specrum within $\left[0,1\right]$ (effective transparencies of the contact)

Adiabatic pumping of noninteracting fermions (continued)

Spectral density: $\mu(p) = \operatorname{tr} \delta(p - \tilde{X})$

 $\chi^{(0)}(z=e^{i\lambda})$ may only have singularities at $z \in \mathbb{R}_-$ (negative real axis):

$$p = \frac{1}{1-z}$$

 effective probabilities
(transparencies) of singleparticle processes



Phase (A or NA) depends on the gap in the spectral density $\mu(p)$ at p=1/2

Adiabatic pumping (continued): examples

Example: Voltage applied to a single-channel contact with the transparency g at the temperature T.

(a) T = 0, V = const: q or (1-q) analytic (b) T = 0, any V(t): phase g and (1-g) (c) T > 0, V = 0: $(1\pm\sqrt{1-g})/2$ analytic (d) T > 0, V = const: g if q < 1/2 $(1+\sqrt{1-a})/2$ and $\mu(p)$ continuous and (e) T > 0, any V(t): nonanalytic asymmetric if q > 1/2 \rightarrow see theorem

Adiabatic pumping: theorem about analytic phase

Consider: one-channel contact with arbitrary S(t) [bias-voltage case included]

the trajectory of the unit vector [as in Makhlin and Mirlin '01]

$$\vec{N}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \vec{\sigma} S^{\dagger}(t) \sigma_z S(t)$$



Theorem:

the statistics is in the analytic phase, if this trajectory can be covered by a semisphere (including the north pole, if T > 0). The gap (in the units of p) is given by $1/2 - g_0$.

 \rightarrow explains all the examples above

Example 3 (quantum): counting free fermions on a 1D line segment

Free fermions on a 1D chain:



Ground state: states with $|k| < k_F$ filled:

$$\left|\Psi\right\rangle = \prod_{\left|k\right| < k_{F}} a_{k}^{+} \left|0\right\rangle$$

Generating function for counting statistics:

$$\chi_L(\lambda) = \langle \Psi | e^{i\lambda N_L} | \Psi \rangle , \qquad N_L = \sum_{i=1}^L a^+(i) a(i)$$

Counting free fermions in 1D (continued)

Average and fluctuations:

$$\langle N_L \rangle = \frac{k_F}{\pi} L \qquad \langle \langle N_L^2 \rangle \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \ln \left(\frac{L}{l_0} \right)$$

(either by bosonization or via Wick theorem)

 $l_0^{-1} = 2e^{\gamma_E + 1} \sin k_F, \qquad \gamma_E = 0.57721...$ Euler constant

At the bosonization level:

$$\chi_L(\lambda) = \exp\left(i\lambda\langle N\rangle - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\langle\!\langle N_L^2\rangle\!\rangle\right)$$

- NOT periodic in λ (neglects charge discreteness)

Counting free fermions in 1D and Toeplitz determinants



Restoring periodicity:

- bosonization + backscattering [Aristov '98]
- more accurately: theory of Toeplitz determinants

$$\chi_L(\lambda) = \langle e^{i\lambda N_L} \rangle = \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^L [1 + (e^{i\lambda} - 1)a^+(i)a(i)] \right\rangle =$$
$$= \det \left(\delta_{ij} + (e^{i\lambda} - 1)g_{ij} \right)_{L \times L}$$

- Toeplitz determinant with the generating function

$$\sigma(k) = \begin{cases} e^{i\lambda}, & |k| < k_F \\ 1, & |k| > k_F \end{cases}$$
 (two Fisher-Hartwig singularitites)

1D free fermions and generalized Fisher-Hartwig formula



Generalized Fisher–Hartwig conjecture – recently proven [Deift, Its, Krasovsky '09]:

$$\chi_L(\lambda) \approx \tilde{\chi}_L(\lambda) + \tilde{\chi}_L(\lambda - 2\pi)$$

$$\tilde{\chi}_L(\lambda) = \exp\left[i\lambda \frac{k_F}{\pi}L - \frac{\lambda^2}{2\pi}\ln(2L\sin k_F) + F_0(\lambda)\right]$$

where

$$F_0(\lambda) = 2\ln\left|G\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)G\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)\right|$$

and G is the Barnes G function

$$G(1+z) = (2\pi)^{z/2} e^{-[z+(\gamma_E+1)z^2]/2} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1+\frac{z}{n}\right)^n e^{-z+\frac{z^2}{2n}}$$

Rigorously proven up to a relative o(1). Can we do better?

Exploring the precision of the generalized Fisher–Hartwig formula

Based on numerical calculations of the Toeplitz determinant up to L = 5000 [with Yachao Qian (Stony Brook)]:

$$\chi_L(\lambda) = \tilde{\chi}_L(\lambda) + \tilde{\chi}_L(\lambda - 2\pi) + \varepsilon$$

$$\tilde{\chi}_L(\lambda) = \exp\left[i\lambda \frac{k_F}{\pi} L - \frac{\lambda^2}{2\pi} \ln(2L\sin k_F) + F_0(\lambda) + F_1(\lambda, k_F)L^{-1}\right]$$
$$\epsilon = \left(\left|\tilde{\chi}_L(\lambda)\right| + \left|\tilde{\chi}_L(\lambda - 2\pi)\right|\right) \cdot O(L^{-2})$$

– numerically verified and $F_1(\lambda, k_F)$ extracted.

Within numerical precision (and in agreement with $\langle\!\langle N_L^3 \rangle\!\rangle$),

$$F_1(\lambda, k_F) = -\frac{i}{4} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\pi}\right)^3 \cot k_F$$

Conclusions, interpretations, conjectures: 1

 "Counting" phase transition (analytic ↔ nonanalytic) may occur at various values of λ. In our examples: always λ = π (the only possible value for noninteracting fermions), but other λ are also possible [see, e.g., Karzig and von Oppen '09:

phase transition at $\lambda = 0$ in a quantum-dot chain].

2. The signatures of the phase transition are subtle. Possible test: "staggered cumulant"

$$\langle \langle n^2 \rangle \rangle_{\pi} = \langle n^2 \rangle_{\pi} - \langle n \rangle_{\pi}^2 = (-i\partial_{\lambda})^2 \ln \chi(\lambda)|_{\lambda=\pi}$$

where $\langle A \rangle_{\pi} := \langle (-1)^n A \rangle / \langle (-1)^n \rangle$

 \rightarrow grows as t in the analytic phase and as t^2 in the nonanalytic phase.

Conclusions, interpretations, conjectures: 2

3. Conjecture on long-time behavior in the nonanalytic phase:

$$\chi(\lambda) = C_{+} [\chi_{0}(\lambda - 0)]^{N} + C_{-} [\chi_{0}(\lambda + 0)]^{N}$$

where C_{\pm} depend on N subexponentially.

- obvious in the classical example
- can be proven in some free-fermion problems using the generalized Fisher-Hartwig formula
- 4. Conjecture on improving the generalized Fisher–Hartwig formula (based on numerics)
- Possible implications of "counting" phase transitions for non-equilibrium bosonization [Gutman, Gefen, Mirlin '09, '10]?